arXiv:physics/0411189v4 [physics.geo-ph] 5 Jul 2005

A new approach for investigating secular variations of the low-degree geopotential coefficients

Lorenzo Iorio
Viale Unità di Italia 68, 70125
Bari, Italy
Email: lorenzo.iorio@libero.it
Phone/Fax: ++39 080 5443144

Abstract

Recent tests aimed at the detection of the general relativistic gravitomagnetic Lense-Thirring effect in the gravitational field of the Earth with the LAGEOS and LAGEOS II satellites have proved to be affected, among other things, by the corrupting impact of the secular variations $\dot{J}_4$ and $\dot{J}_6$ of the second and third even zonal harmonic coefficients of the multipolar expansion of the terrestrial gravitational potential. Unfortunately, they are, at present, poorly known so that their impact on the performed measurement is $\sim 13\%$ over 11 years. Also the impact of the static part of J_4 and J_6 is relevant inducing a systematic bias of 4-9% according to different gravity models. Moreover, the currently available values for them may retain an a priori 'memory' effect of the Lense-Thirring effect itself. In this paper we suggest a novel method to determine J_2, J_4, J_6 along with their secular variations $\dot{J}_2, \dot{J}_4, \dot{J}_6$. Our approach is based on the use of three linear combinations of the nodes of LAGEOS, LAGEOS II and Ajisai and the perigee of LAGEOS II. The retrieved values for the even zonal harmonics of interest are, by construction, independent of each other and of the post-Newtonian precessions. The so obtained mini-model could subsequently be used in order to enhance the accuracy and the reliability of the measurements of the Lense-Thirring effect by means of LAGEOS and LAGEOS II satellites. Moreover, it would also allow for a clearer investigation of possible seasonal and interannual variations of J_4 and J_6.

Keywords: Even zonal harmonics, Secular variations of the even zonal harmonics, LAGEOS, LAGEOS II and Ajisai satellites, New Earth gravity models, Post-Newtonian Lense-Thirring effect

1 Introduction

The long and accurate records of data available from the laser-ranged geodetic satellites have proven to be an excellent and unique tool for investigating the long-term variations of the terrestrial gravity field by means of the satellite laser ranging (SLR) technique. For example, Cheng and Tapley (2004) recently analyzed 28 years of data from 1976 to 2003.

In particular, the secular variations $\dot{J}_\ell$ of the even zonal harmonic coefficients J_ℓ of the Newtonian multipolar expansion of the Earth's gravitational potential have recently received attention (Cheng et al. 1997; Bianco et al. 1998; Cox and Chao 2002, Dickey et al. 2002, Cox et al. 2003, Cheng and Tapley, 2004). This is mainly due to the observed inversion of the rate of change of the Earth's quadrupole mass moment coefficient J_2 which, since 1998, began increasing (Cox and Chao 2002). It is not yet clear if such an effect is a long-term feature or is short-term in nature. The variations of the even zonal harmonics are mainly related to the Earth's lower mantle viscosity features (Ivins et al. 1993). Recent studies have shown that also ice mass losses, and oceanographic and hydrological contributions are important.

An accurate knowledge of the secular variations of the other low-degree even zonal harmonics, with particular emphasis on $\dot{J}_4$ and $\dot{J}_6$, is of the utmost importance for a reliable and consistent evaluation of the total accuracy in the tests aimed at the detection of the post-Newtonian gravitomagnetic Lense–Thirring effect (Lense and Thirring 1918). It consists of secular precessions affecting the longitude of the ascending node Ω and the argument of perigee ω of the orbit of a test particle moving in the gravitational field of a central spinning body. They are

$$\dot{\Omega}_{\rm LT} = \frac{2GS}{c^2a^3(1-e^2)^{3/2}},\ \dot{\omega}_{\rm LT} = -\frac{6GS\cos i}{c^2a^3(1-e^2)^{3/2}}, \tag{1}$$

in which G and c are the Newtonian constant of gravitation and the speed of light in vacuum, respectively, S is the proper angular momentum of the central body, a, e, i are the semimajor axis, the eccentricity and the inclination to the body's equator, respectively, of the test particle's orbit. For the LAGEOS satellites, whose orbital parameters are in Table 1, they amount to a few tens of milliarcseconds per year (mas yr^{-1}). The major source of bias is represented by the corrupting effects induced by the Newtonian part of the terrestrial gravitational field. A strategy to overcome this problem was put forth for the first time by Ciufolini (1996) who designed a linear combination involving the nodes of LAGEOS and LAGEOS II and the perigee of LAGEOS II in order to remove the bias due to the first two even zonal har-

monics J_2 and J_4. In the tests performed with such a combination (Ciufolini et al. 1998) and the Earth's gravity model EGM96 (Lemoine et al. 1998) a total error of 20% was claimed. However, this estimate is largely optimistic mainly due to the impact of the non-gravitational perturbations affecting the perigee of LAGEOS II and of the uncancelled even zonal harmonics. More realistic evaluations point toward $\sim 50 - 80\%$ (Ries et al 2003a; Iorio 2005a). Ries et al. (2003b) suggested for the first time to use only the nodes of LAGEOS and LAGEOS II for measuring the Lense-Thirring effect in view of the expected improvements in our knowledge of the Earth's gravitational field from the GRACE missions. In (Iorio 2003a; Iorio and Morea 2004; 2005c) the following combination of the residuals of the nodes of LAGEOS and LAGEOS II was explicitly proposed

$$\delta\dot\Omega^{\rm L} + p_1\delta\dot\Omega^{\rm L\ II}, \tag{2}$$

with $p_1 = 0.546$ (see Section 2). Eq.(2) would entirely absorb the gravitomagnetic signature, which is a linear trend with a slope of 48.1 mas yr^{-1}, because the Lense-Thirring effect is purposely switched off in the dynamical force models used for constructing the (O)-(C) residuals $\delta\dot\Omega$. The coefficient p_1 allows to cancel out the aliasing effects due to the static and time-varying components of the first even zonal harmonic J_2. The combination of Eq.(2) is, instead, affected by the other higher degree even zonal harmonics J_4, J_6, J_8.. along with their secular variations $\dot J_4, \dot J_6$. While the static parts of J_ℓ induce linear precessions, the shift due to the secular variations $\dot J_\ell$ is quadratic. Ciufolini and Pavlis (2004) adopted the combination of Eq.(2) for analyzing almost 11 years of LAGEOS and LAGEOS II data with the 2nd generation GRACE-only EIGEN-GRACE02S model (Reigber et al. 2005). They claim a total error of 5% at 1-sigma level. Such estimates have been criticized by Iorio (2005a; 2005b) who yields a 19-24% 1-sigma total error budget estimate. In (Iorio 2005b) it has been shown that the systematic error due to the static part of the even zonal harmonics is still model-dependent ranging from 4.3% for the GeoForschungsZentrum (GFZ) EIGEN-GRACE02S model to 8.7% for the Center for Space Research (CSR) GGM02S model (see http://www.csr.utexas.edu/grace/gravity/). These figures refer to 1-sigma upper bounds obtained by linearly summing the individual mismodelled precessions. It is important to note that the sensitivity of GRACE to the low-degree even zonal harmonics has recently been questioned (Wahr et al. 2004); this could prevent from obtaining notable future improvements of the systematic error in the Lense-Thirring measurement with Eq.(2) due to the static part of the even zonals.

In regard to the secular variations of the even zonal harmonics, at present there is a large uncertainty about the magnitude and even the sign of $\dot{J}_4$ and $\dot{J}_6$; see (Cox et al. 2003, Table 1). Thus, their impact over the performed measurement has been evaluated 13% over 11 years (Iorio 2005b).

In this paper, we propose a strategy in order to measure the first three even zonal harmonics of the geopotential independently of each other and of the relativistic effects by means of suitable linear combinations of the orbital residuals of the LAGEOS satellites and of Ajisai. This approach could also be useful in better analyzing the temporal features of J_4 and J_6 independently of J_2.

2 The linear combination approach and its main features

To determine the even zonal harmonic J_ℓ, it is convenient to consider the temporal evolution, averaged over many orbital revolutions, of those Keplerian orbital elements which, under such condition, undergo secular precessions. They are the node Ω, the longitude of the perigee ω and the mean anomaly $\mathcal{M}$; for a generic satellite A we will denote any of them as $\Psi^{\rm A}$. Among them, the node Ω is by far the most accurately measurable, mainly due to its insensitivity to the non-gravitational perturbations. On the contrary, the perigee ω is affected by a host of non–gravitational perturbations which, in many cases, are difficult to correctly model so that their impact on the recovery of some parameter of interest by means of the perigee cannot often be reliably assessed and evaluated (Ries et al 2003a; 2003b). The same holds also for the mean anomaly $\mathcal{M}$ for which the indirect perturbations on the mean motion n induced by the disturbing accelerations affecting the semimajor axis a are to be considered as well.

It is well known that the multipolar expansion of the Earth's geopotential in spherical harmonics (Kaula 1966) leads to classical secular precessions of the node, the perigee and the mean anomaly which are linear in the even zonal harmonics J_ℓ. Moreover, the Einstein's general theory of relativity (GTR) predicts that additional secular precessions affecting the node and/or the perigee are also present. They are the gravitoelectric Einstein secular rate of the perigee (Einstein 1915)

$$\dot{\omega}_{\rm GE} = \frac{3nGM}{c^2 a(1-e^2)}, \tag{3}$$

in which M is the central body's mass and $n = \sqrt{GM/a^3}$ is the Keplerian

mean motion, and the gravitomagnetic Lense-Thirring rates of the node and the perigee. In Table 2 we quote the post-Newtonian secular precessions for the nodes of LAGEOS, LAGEOS II and Ajisai and the perigee of LAGEOS II.

Let us suppose, for the sake of clarity, that we want to measure two particular even zonal harmonics J_ℓ and $J_{\ell'}$ in a relativity–free fashion. The orbital residuals of a given Keplerian element account for any mismodelled or unmodelled physical phenomenon affecting that element. In order to illustrate the method, let us consider the orbital residuals $\delta\dot\Psi_{\rm obs}$ of the rates $\dot\Psi$ for three satellites denoted as A, B and C and assume that they entirely account for one of the three features that we wish to measure separately of each other, (i.e., for the relativistic effects, or the even zonal harmonic of degree ℓ or ℓ'). In regard to the even zonal harmonics, this means that we are using a 'truncated' Earth gravity model in the force model routines of the orbit determination system; in principle, it would include all the even zonal harmonics except for that one in which we are interested in. We can write

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl}\delta\dot\Psi^{\rm A}_{\rm obs} &=& \dot\Psi^{\rm A}_{.\ell}J_\ell+\dot\Psi^{\rm A}_{.\ell'}J_{\ell'}+\dot\Psi^{\rm A}_{\rm GTR}\mu_{\rm GTR}+\Delta^{\rm A},\\ \delta\dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{\rm obs} &=& \dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{.\ell}J_\ell+\dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{.\ell'}J_{\ell'}+\dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{\rm GTR}\mu_{\rm GTR}+\Delta^{\rm B},\\ \delta\dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{\rm obs} &=& \dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{.\ell}J_\ell+\dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{.\ell'}J_{\ell'}+\dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{\rm GTR}\mu_{\rm GTR}+\Delta^{\rm C},\end{array}\right. \tag{4}$$

in which the coefficients $\dot\Psi_{.\ell}$ are defined as

$$\dot\Psi_{.\ell}=\frac{\partial\dot\Psi_{\rm class}}{\partial J_\ell}. \tag{5}$$

The coefficients $\dot\Psi_{.\ell}$ have been explicitly worked out for $\Psi\equiv\Omega$ and $\Psi\equiv\omega$ from $\ell=2$ to $\ell=20$ (Iorio 2003b); it turns out that they are functions of the semimajor axis a, the inclination i and the eccentricity e of the considered satellite: $\dot\Psi_{.\ell}=\dot\Psi_{.\ell}(a,\,e,\,i;\,GM,R)$, where R is the Earth's mean equatorial radius. For example, the coefficient of degree $\ell=2$ for the node is

$$\dot\Omega_{.2}=-\frac{3}{2}\frac{n\cos i}{(1-e^2)^2}\left(\frac{R}{a}\right)^2, \tag{6}$$

while for the perigee we have

$$\dot\omega_{.2}=\frac{3}{4}\frac{n}{(1-e^2)^2}\left(\frac{R}{a}\right)^2\left(5\cos^2 i-1\right). \tag{7}$$

In Table 3 we quote the coefficients $\dot\Psi_{.\ell}$ for the nodes of LAGEOS, LAGEOS II and Ajisai and the perigee of LAGEOS II from $\ell=2$ to $\ell=6$.

The quantities Δ include all the other classical effects, of gravitational and non–gravitational origin, which affect Ψ and which have been included in the routines of the orbit determination systems like, e.g., GEODYN II (Pavlis et al. 1998) or UTOPIA (CSR) with the level of accuracy (or mismodelling, if you prefer) characteristic of their models. If such force models were perfect, such other effects would not affect the residuals $\delta\dot{\Psi}$ and we would have $\Delta = 0$. In particular, we can assume they include the mismodelled secular precessions induced by the remaining even zonal harmonics of degree other than ℓ or $\ell^{'}$, possible seasonal and interannual variations and the mismodelled non-gravitational perturbations. The quantity $\mu_{\rm GTR}$ is a solved–for least square parameter which accounts for the post–Newtonian effects. It is 0 in classical mechanics and 1 in GTR. We can consider Eq.(4) as a non–homogeneous algebraic linear system of three equations in the three unknowns J_ℓ, $J_{\ell'}$ and $\mu_{\rm GTR}$. The square matrix of coefficients is represented by

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} \dot{\Psi}^{\rm A}_{.\ell} & \dot{\Psi}^{\rm A}_{.\ell'} & \dot{\Psi}^{\rm A}_{\rm GTR} \\ \dot{\Psi}^{\rm B}_{.\ell} & \dot{\Psi}^{\rm B}_{.\ell'} & \dot{\Psi}^{\rm B}_{\rm GTR} \\ \dot{\Psi}^{\rm C}_{.\ell} & \dot{\Psi}^{\rm C}_{.\ell'} & \dot{\Psi}^{\rm C}_{\rm GTR} \end{array} \right) \tag{8}$$

By defining

$$\mathcal{A} \equiv \delta\dot{\Psi}^{\rm A}_{\rm obs} - \Delta^{\rm A}, \tag{9}$$

$$\mathcal{B} \equiv \delta\dot{\Psi}^{\rm B}_{\rm obs} - \Delta^{\rm B}, \tag{10}$$

$$\mathcal{C} \equiv \delta\dot{\Psi}^{\rm C}_{\rm obs} - \Delta^{\rm C}, \tag{11}$$

it is possible to obtain

$$J_\ell = \frac{\mathcal{A} + h_1\mathcal{B} + h_2\mathcal{C}}{\dot{\Psi}^{\rm A}_{.\ell} + h_1\dot{\Psi}^{\rm B}_{.\ell} + h_2\dot{\Psi}^{\rm C}_{.\ell}}, \tag{12}$$

$$J_{\ell'} = \frac{\mathcal{A} + k_1\mathcal{B} + k_2\mathcal{C}}{\dot{\Psi}^{\rm A}_{.\ell'} + k_1\dot{\Psi}^{\rm B}_{.\ell'} + k_2\dot{\Psi}^{\rm C}_{.\ell'}}, \tag{13}$$

$$\mu_{\rm GTR} = \frac{\mathcal{A} + c_1\mathcal{B} + c_2\mathcal{C}}{\dot{\Psi}^{\rm A}_{\rm GTR} + c_1\dot{\Psi}^{\rm B}_{\rm GTR} + c_2\dot{\Psi}^{\rm C}_{\rm GTR}}, \tag{14}$$

where

$$h_1 = \frac{\dot\Psi^{\rm A}_{\rm GTR}\dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{.\ell'} - \dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{\rm GTR}\dot\Psi^{\rm A}_{.\ell'}}{\dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{\rm GTR}\dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{.\ell'} - \dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{\rm GTR}\dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{.\ell'}}, \quad (15)$$

$$h_2 = \frac{\dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{\rm GTR}\dot\Psi^{\rm A}_{.\ell'} - \dot\Psi^{\rm A}_{\rm GTR}\dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{.\ell'}}{\dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{\rm GTR}\dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{.\ell'} - \dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{\rm GTR}\dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{.\ell'}}, \quad (16)$$

$$k_1 = \frac{\dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{\rm GTR}\dot\Psi^{\rm A}_{.\ell} - \dot\Psi^{\rm A}_{\rm GTR}\dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{.\ell}}{\dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{\rm GTR}\dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{.\ell} - \dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{\rm GTR}\dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{.\ell}}, \quad (17)$$

$$k_2 = \frac{\dot\Psi^{\rm A}_{\rm GTR}\dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{.\ell} - \dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{\rm GTR}\dot\Psi^{\rm A}_{.\ell}}{\dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{\rm GTR}\dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{.\ell} - \dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{\rm GTR}\dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{.\ell}}, \quad (18)$$

$$c_1 = \frac{\dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{.\ell}\dot\Psi^{\rm A}_{.\ell'} - \dot\Psi^{\rm A}_{.\ell}\dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{.\ell'}}{\dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{.\ell}\dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{.\ell'} - \dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{.\ell}\dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{.\ell'}}, \quad (19)$$

$$c_2 = \frac{\dot\Psi^{\rm A}_{.\ell}\dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{.\ell'} - \dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{.\ell}\dot\Psi^{\rm A}_{.\ell'}}{\dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{.\ell}\dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{.\ell'} - \dot\Psi^{\rm C}_{.\ell}\dot\Psi^{\rm B}_{.\ell'}}. \quad (20)$$

This approach was proposed for the first time by Ciufolini (1996) in the context of the Lense–Thirring experiment with the LAGEOS satellites. He derived a combination involving the nodes of the LAGEOS satellites and the perigee of LAGEOS II. It is a particular case of Eq.(14) and Eqs.(19)-(20) in which $\Psi^{\rm A} = \Omega^{\rm LAGEOS}$, $\Psi^{\rm B} = \Omega^{\rm LAGEOS\ II}$, $\Psi^{\rm C} = \omega^{\rm LAGEOS\ II}$, $\ell = 2$, $\ell' = 4$, $\dot\Omega_{\rm GTR} = \dot\Omega_{\rm LT}$, $\dot\omega_{\rm GTR} = \dot\omega_{\rm LT}$. Later, other combinations have been proposed (Iorio 2003a; Iorio and Morea 2004; Iorio 2005c; Iorio and Doornbos 2005d). For example, the coefficient p_1 of the combination of Eq.(2) is

$$p_1 = -\frac{\dot\Omega^{\rm L}_{.2}}{\dot\Omega^{\rm LII}_{.2}}. \quad (21)$$

It is very important to note that Eqs.(22)-(24) allow to measure the selected even zonal harmonics J_ℓ and $J_{\ell'}$ independently of each other and of the relativistic effects which are a priori assumed to be valid and included in the force models.

3 Three possible combinations for J_2, J_4 and J_6

Applying the considerations outlined in Section 2 to the first three even zonal harmonics, it is possible to obtain three linear combinations which allow to determine them independently of each other and of the relativistic effects.

Note that the retrieved values for $\dot{J}_\ell$ are instead correlated in, e.g., (Cheng et al. 1997): the correlation coefficients are -0.75 for $\dot{J}_2$ and $\dot{J}_4$, 0.76 for $\dot{J}_2$ and $\dot{J}_6$ and -0.86 for $\dot{J}_4$ and $\dot{J}_4$ and $\dot{J}_6$. We will use the LAGEOS satellites and Ajisai because of their high altitude which allow to reduce the impact of the remaining uncancelled even zonal harmonics $J_8, J_{10}, J_{12}, ...$ which do affect the proposed combinations. The direct inclusion of the other geodetic satellites, like Starlette ($a = 7331$ km, $i = 49.8$ deg, $e = 0.0205$), which has a rather eccentric orbit, and Stella ($a = 7193$ km, $i = 98.6$ deg, $e = 0$) would introduce more even zonals due to their semimajor axes and inclinations, thus enhancing the systematic error induced by the uncertainties in such other even zonal coefficients.

The combination for J_2 is

$$\delta\dot{\Omega}^{\rm L} + a_1\delta\dot{\Omega}^{\rm L\ II} + a_2\delta\dot{\Omega}^{\rm Aji} + a_3\delta\dot{\omega}^{\rm L\ II}, \tag{22}$$

with $a_1 = 2.865127386220304, a_2 = -0.1125697464440513, a_3 = -0.03288044638241112$. The expected signal affecting Eq.(22) is

$$X\left(J_2 + \dot{J}_2 t\right) + [\text{seasonal, interannual effects}] + [\delta J_8, \delta J_{10}, ...] + [\text{nongrav.}], \tag{23}$$

with $X \equiv \dot{\Omega}^{\rm L}_{.2} + a_1\dot{\Omega}^{\rm L\ II}_{.2} + a_2\dot{\Omega}^{\rm Aji}_{.2} + a_3\dot{\omega}^{\rm L\ II}_{.2} = -1046.48249580121$ deg day^{-1}. For a_1, a_2, a_3, X the values in Table 3 and Table 2 have been used. Eq.(22) is purposely built up in order to cancel out the classical perturbations induced by J_4, J_6, along with their temporal variations, and the post-Newtonian secular precessions. This feature can easily be checked by calculating Eq.(22) with the coefficients $\dot{\Psi}_{.\ell}$ of the classical precessions of degree $\ell = 4, 6$ (Table 3) and with the general relativistic precessions (Table 2): the result is zero.

For J_4 we have

$$\dot{\Omega}^{\rm L} + b_1\delta\dot{\Omega}^{\rm L\ II} + b_2\delta\dot{\Omega}^{\rm Aji} + b_3\delta\dot{\omega}^{\rm L\ II}, \tag{24}$$

with $b_1 = 0.7547006806958291, b_2 = -0.04496658671744361, b_3 = -0.01501043654953821$. The expected signal for Eq.(24) is

$$Y\left(J_4 + \dot{J}_4 t\right) + [\text{seasonal, interannual effects}] + [\delta J_8, \delta J_{10}, ...] + [\text{nongrav.}], \tag{25}$$

with $Y \equiv \dot{\Omega}^{\rm L}_{.4} + b_1\dot{\Omega}^{\rm L\ II}_{.4} + b_2\dot{\Omega}^{\rm Aji}_{.4} + b_3\dot{\omega}^{\rm L\ II}_{.4} = -86.5180659848$ deg day^{-1}. For b_1, b_2, b_3, Y the values in Table 3 and Table 2 have been used. Eq.(24) is, by construction, independent of J_2, J_6 and relativity.

The even zonal harmonic coefficient J_6 can be determined independently of J_2, J_4 and relativity by means of

$$\delta\dot{\Omega}^{\rm L} + c_1\delta\dot{\Omega}^{\rm L\ II} + c_2\delta\dot{\Omega}^{\rm Aji} + c_3\delta\dot{\omega}^{\rm L\ II}, \tag{26}$$

with $c_1 = 5.747950720464317, c_2 = -1.073942838094944, c_3 = -0.02646944285900744$. It is expected that Eq.(26) is affected by

$$Z\left(J_6 + \dot{J}_6 t\right) + [\text{seasonal, interannual effects}] + [\delta J_8, \delta J_{10}, ...] + [\text{nongrav.}], \tag{27}$$

with $Z \equiv \dot{\Omega}^{\rm L}_{.6} + c_1\dot{\Omega}^{\rm L\ II}_{.6} + c_2\dot{\Omega}^{\rm Aji}_{.6} + c_3\dot{\omega}^{\rm L\ II}_{.6} = -1022.9930383702$ deg day^{-1}. Also in this case the values in Table 3 and Table 2 have been used for calculating c_1, c_2, c_3, Z.

4 The analysis strategy

The residuals of the nodes of LAGEOS, LAGEOS II and Ajisai and of the perigee of LAGEOS II should be built up by adopting, as usual in precise orbit determination process, a complete suite of dynamical force models and some background reference model for the Earth's gravitational field complete to all available degree and order, apart from just the even zonal harmonic coefficients that we are interested in. Alternatively, it would be possible to include in the reference models default values $J_\ell^{(0)}$ for the even zonals of interest in order to determine corrections ΔJ_ℓ to them. In this way, the residuals should entirely-or partly-account for the linear and quadratic shifts induced by that even zonal harmonic, which we are interested in, and for the all other physical effects, which we are not interested in, according to the level of accuracy of the force models included in the orbit determination system. For the background reference model of the gravitational field it would be better to use some Earth gravity models derived from non-SLR datasets like records from CHAMP and GRACE.

The so built residuals time series should be analyzed over a time span rather long to average out the various time-dependent perturbations, in particular those induced by the non-gravitational forces acting on the perigee of LAGEOS II. In addition to the expected linear and quadratic integrated shifts of Eq.(23), Eq.(25) and Eq.(27) also seasonal and interannual variations could affect the investigated signals. In (Cheng and Tapley 2004) it has been shown that such additional features mainly affect J_2: our strategy could allow to better investigate their effects on J_4 and J_6 which should be less relevant.

With a quadratic fit $QF = a_0 + b_0 t + c_0 t^2$ it is possible to retrieve both J_ℓ (or ΔJ_ℓ) and $\dot{J}_\ell$ for the considered even zonal harmonic from b_0 and c_0.

4.1 Possible sources of errors in J_2, J_4, J_6

The accuracy of the orbit determination process sets the level of the obtainable observational errors in the even zonal harmonics $J_\ell^{(\rm obs)}$. The rms orbital accuracy *deltar* for the LAGEOS satellites is $\lesssim 1$ cm; for Ajisai, which is more sensitive to the non-gravitational perturbations, we conservatively assume 10 cm. By assuming $\delta\Omega \sim \delta r/a$ and $\delta\omega \sim \delta r/ea$, we obtain $\delta J_2^{(\rm obs)} = 2\times 10^{-14}, \delta J_4^{(\rm obs)} = 9\times 10^{-14}, \delta J_6^{(\rm obs)} = 2\times 10^{-12}$. over a time span of, say, one year.

A major source of systematic error is represented by the impact of the mismodelled even zonal harmonics of higher degree which are not cancelled out by Eqs.(22)-(26). For example, Eq.(24) is independent of J_2, J_6 and relativity but is affected by $J_8, J_{10}, J_{12}, ...$ which are assumed to be modelled according to some Earth gravity model solution. Such bias can be evaluated, in a conservative way, by using the available sigma δJ_ℓ of the variance matrix of the adopted background reference model and by summing up the individual secular precessions induced by the uncancelled mismodelled even zonal coefficients. For example, the systematic error in the measurement of J_4 with Eq.(24) can be evaluated as

$$\delta J_4^{(\rm zon)} = \frac{\sum_{\ell=8}^{20}\left|\dot\Omega^{\rm L}_{.\ell} + b_1\dot\Omega^{\rm L\ II}_{.\ell} + b_2\dot\Omega^{\rm Aji}_{.\ell} + b_3\dot\omega^{\rm L\ II}_{.\ell}\right|\delta J_\ell}{Y}. \qquad (28)$$

The impact of the uncancelled even zonal harmonics on the measurement of $\dot J_4$ with Eq.(24) can analogously be evaluated as

$$\delta \dot J_4^{(\rm zon)} = 2\frac{\sum_{\ell=8}^{20}\left|\dot\Omega^{\rm L}_{.\ell} + b_1\dot\Omega^{\rm L\ II}_{.\ell} + b_2\dot\Omega^{\rm Aji}_{.\ell} + b_3\dot\omega^{\rm L\ II}_{.\ell}\right|\delta J_\ell}{Y T_{\rm obs}}, \qquad (29)$$

where $T_{\rm obs}$ is the adopted observational time span in years. The factor 2 in Eq.(29) comes from the fact that we have compared the integrated linear shift due to $J_8, J_{10}, ...$ to the quadratic shift $Y\left(\frac{\dot J_4}{2}t^2\right)$ over $T_{\rm obs}$.

According to the combined CHAMP+GRACE+terrestrial gravimetry/altimetry EIGEN-CG01C Earth gravity model (Reigber et al. 2004), the systematic errors due to the uncancelled even zonal harmonics would be $\delta J_2^{(\rm zon)} = 6\times 10^{-13}, \delta J_4^{(\rm zon)} = 3\times 10^{-12}, \delta J_6^{(\rm zon)} = 8\times 10^{-12}$. For the secular variations of the even zonal harmonics of interest we have $\delta \dot J_2^{(\rm zon)} = 1\times 10^{-12}/T_{\rm obs}$ yr^{-1}, $\delta \dot J_4^{(\rm zon)} = 3\times 10^{-12}/T_{\rm obs}$ yr^{-1}, $\delta \dot J_6^{(\rm zon)} = 1\times 10^{-11}/T_{\rm obs}$ yr^{-1}. They are 1-sigma upper bounds obtained, in a conservative way, by summing up

the absolute values of the individual mismodelled precessions according to Eq.(28) and Eq.(29).

It is interesting to note that the proposed determination of J_4 and J_6, which are the most relevant with respect to the Lense-Thirring effect determination, would not be biased by a number of effects which, on the contrary, should be considered for J_2. Among them, there is the semisecular harmonic perturbation induced by the 18.6–year tide because its major power is concentrated just in the $\ell = 2$ $m = 0$ constituent. Consequently, it would affect, in principle, the recovery of J_2. According to recent estimates of the amplitudes of the perturbations induced by it on the nodes of the LAGEOS satellites and the perigee of LAGEOS II and of the level of misomodelling in the k_2 Love number (1.5% Iorio 2001) in terms of which they are expressed, the 18.6–year tide should have an impact on the measurement of J_2 of the order of 10^{-11} over one year. However, it might be a rather pessimistic estimate. Indeed, there are sufficiently long data records to empirically estimate the 18.6-year tide (Eanes and Bettadpur 1996) whose action could thus be removed from the times series if Eq.(22). Indeed, the available data records of LAGEOS and Starlette are nearly 30 years long.

In regard to other sources of systematic errors, it is important to notice that the impact of the non–gravitational perturbations affecting especially the perigee of LAGEOS II should be reduced by the fact that the coefficients a_3, b_3, c_3 with which it enters the three combinations are all of the order of 10^{-2}. In order to get an–order–of–magnitude estimate, some authors claim that the non–gravitational perturbations affecting the perigee of LAGEOS II could have an impact on the performed Lense–Thirring tests of the order of 100% (Ries et al. 2003a; 2003b), i.e. almost 60 mas yr^{-1} (Ciufolini 1996). If so, the bias induced on our proposed estimation of J_2, J_4 and J_6 would be of the order of 10^{-13} over a time span of, say, one year. In regard to Ajisai, its node is not affected by low-frequency perturbations which could bias the recovery of the quantities of interest over not too long time spans. For example, the most insidious tidal perturbation, i.e. the $\ell = 2, m = 1$ K_1 tide, has the same period of the node which is 0.32 years for Ajisai. It is certainly more sensitive than the LAGEOS satellites to the non-gravitational perturbations (Sengoku et al. 1995; 1996) mainly due to its larger area-to-mass A/M ratio which is 2.7×10^{-2} m^2 kg^{-1}: for LAGEOS $A/M = 6.9 \times 10^{-4}$. However, their nominal impact can be considered negligible, as can be inferred from (Iorio and Doornbos 2005) in which it is shown that their influence on a proposed direct measurement of the Lense-Thirring effect is small. Moreover, note that the coefficient b_2 of the combination for J_4, which is particularly important for our purposes, is

of the order of 10^{-2}.

5 Conclusions

Motivated by the need of improving the reliability and the accuracy of the Lense-Thirring test performed with the node-node LAGEOS-LAGEOS II combination of Eq.(2), we have outlined a strategy to determine J_2, J_4, J_6 along with their secular variations $\dot{J}_2, \dot{J}_4, \dot{J}_6$, independently of each other and of relativity itself. Indeed, one of the major sources of systematic error in such a relativistic test is represented by the aliasing classical linear and quadratic shifts due to the mismodelling in $J_4, J_6, \dot{J}_4, \dot{J}_6$. According to the most recent CHAMP/GRACE Earth gravity models, the systematic error induced by the static part of the zonals ranges from 4% to $\sim$ 9%, while the impact of their secular variations is 13% over 11 years. The three linear combinations of Eqs.(22)-(26) built up with the nodes of LAGEOS, LAGEOS II and Ajisai and the perigee of LAGEOS II allow to disentangle, by construction, the relativistic precessions from those induced by the even zonals whose measured values could thus be safely used for reanalyzing the Lense-Thirring combination of Eq.(2). In regard to $\dot{J}_4$ and $\dot{J}_6$, it should be noted that the proposed technique allows for a determination independent, by construction, of the static and time-varying parts of J_2. Moreover, a reanalysis of Eq.(2) with the values of J_4 and J_6 determined with the proposed approach would not be driven towards the expected result by possible 'imprinting' of relativistic effects. The so obtained low-degree minimodel would also have an intrinsic value itself, especially in view of the recently discussed ability of GRACE to accurately measure the low-degree even zonal harmonic coefficients (Wahr et al. 2004). Moreover, a clearer investigation of possible seasonal and interannual variations in J_4 and J_6 could be performed.

Acknowledgments

I thank L. Guerriero for his logistic support in Bari and S. Schiller for the useful discussion in Rio at the X Marcel Grossmann Meeting 2003. Special thanks also to the anonymous referees due to their efforts which greatly improved the manuscript.

References

Bianco, G., R. Devoti, M. Fermi, V. Luceri, P. Rutigliano, C. Sciarretta, Estimation of low degree geopotential coefficients using SLR data, Plan. Space Sci., 46, 1633-1638, 1998.

Ciufolini, I., On a new method to measure the gravitomagnetic field using two orbiting satellites, Il Nuovo Cimento A, 109, 1709-1720, 1996.

Cheng, M.K., C.K. Shum, B.D. Tapley, Determination of long-term changes in the Earth's gravity field, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 22377-22390, 1997.

Cheng, M.K., B.D. Tapley, Secular variations in the low degree zonal harmonics from 28 years of SLR data, American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting 2004, 2004AGUFM.G31C0801C.

Ciufolini, I., E.C. Pavlis, A confirmation of the general relativistic prediction of the LenseThirring effect, Nature, 431, 958-960, 2004.

Cox, C., B.F. Chao, Detection of a Large-Scale Mass Redistribution in the Terrestrial System Since 1998, Science, 297, 831-833, 2002.

Cox, C., A. Au, J.-P. Boy, B.F. Chao, Time-Variable Gravity: Using Satellite Laser Ranging as a Tool for Observing Long-Term Changes in the Earth System. *Proc. 13th Int. Laser Ranging Workshop NASA CP 2003-212248*, edited by R. Noomen, S. Klosko, C. Noll, and M. Pearlman, (NASA Goddard), 2003. (Preprint http://cddisa.gsfc.nasa.gov/lw13/lw_proceedings.html#science).

Dickey, J.O., S.L. Marcus, O. de Viron, I. Fukumori, Recent Earth Oblateness Variations: Unraveling Climate and Postglacial Rebound Effects, Science, 298, 1975-1977, 2002.

Eanes, R.J., S. Bettadpur, Temporal variability of Earth's gravitational field from satellite laser ranging. *Global Gravity Field and its Temporal Variations (IAG Symp. Ser. 116)*, R. H. Rapp, A. Cazenave, and R. S. Nerem (Eds.), Springer, New York, pp. 30-41, 1996.

Einstein, A., Erklärung der perihelbewegung des Merkur aus der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie, Sitzber. Kön. Preuss. Akad. Berlin, 47, 831-839, 1915.

Iorio, L., Earth tides and Lense-Thirring effect, Celest. Mech. & Dyn. Astron., 79, 201-230, 2001.

Iorio, L., The new Earth gravity models and the measurement of the Lense-Thirring effect. Paper presented at Tenth Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity Rio de Janeiro, July 20-26, 2003a. (Preprint http://www.arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0308022).

Iorio, L., The Impact of the Static Part of the Earth's Gravity Field on Some Tests of General Relativity with Satellite Laser Ranging, Celest. Mech. & Dyn. Astron., 86 277-294, 2003b.

Iorio, L., A. Morea, The impact of the new Earth gravity models on the measurement of the Lense-Thirring effect, Gen. Rel. Grav., 36, 1321–1333, 2004. (Preprint http://www.arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0304011).

Iorio, L., On the reliability of the so far performed tests for measuring the Lense-Thirring effect with the LAGEOS satellites, *New Astronomy*, **10**, 603-615, 2005a.

Iorio, L., The impact of the new Earth gravity models on the measurement of the Lense-Thirring effect with a new satellite, *New Astronomy* **10**, 632-651, 2005b

Iorio, L., (2005c). The impact of the new CHAMP and GRACE Earth gravity models on the measurement of the general relativistic Lense–Thirring effect with the LAGEOS and LAGEOS II satellites, *Earth Observation with CHAMP - Results from Three Years in Orbit* ed Ch. Reigber, H. Lühr, P. Schwintzer, and J. Wickert (Springer: Berlin) pp 187–192. (Preprint http://www.arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0309092).

Iorio, L., E. Doornbos, On the possibility of using Jason-1 in determining the Lense-Thirring effect, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* , **37**, 1059-1074, 2005.

Ivins, E.R., C. G. Sammis, C.F. Yoder, Deep mantle viscous structure with prior estimate and satellite constraint, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 4579-4609, 1993.

Kaula, W. M., *Theory of Satellite Geodesy*, Blaisdell Publishing Company, Waltham, 1966.

Lemoine, F. G., S.C. Kenyon, J.K. Factor, R.G. Trimmer, N.K. Pavlis, D.S. Chinn, C.M. Cox, S.M. Klosko, S.B. Luthcke, M.H. Torrence, Y.M. Wang, R.G. Williamson, E.C. Pavlis, R.H. Rapp, T.R. Olson, The Development of the Joint NASA GSFC and the National Imagery Mapping Agency (NIMA) Geopotential Model EGM96 NASA/TP-1998-206861, 1998.

Lense, J., H. Thirring, Über den Einfluss der Eigenrotation der Zentralkörper auf die Bewegung der Planeten und Monde nach der Einsteinschen Gravitationstheorie, Phys. Z., 19, 156-163, 1918. Translated by Mashhoon, B., F.W. Hehl, D.S. Theiss, On the Gravitational Effects of Rotating Masses: The Thirring-Lense Papers, Gen. Rel. Grav., 16, 711-750, 1984.

Pavlis, E.C. et al., GEODYN system description, Vol. 3, NASA GSFC, Greenbelt, MD, 1998.

Reigber, Ch., P. Schwintzer, R. Stubenvoll, R. Schmidt, F. Flechtner, U. Meyer, R. König, H. Neumayer, Ch. Förste, F. Barthelmes, S.Y. Zhu, G. Balmino, R. Biancale, J.-M. Lemoine, H. Meixner, J.C. Raimondo, A High Resolution Global Gravity Field Model Combining CHAMP and GRACE Satellite Mission and Surface Gravity Data: EIGEN-CG01C, submitted to J. of Geod., 2004.

Reigber, Ch., R. Schmidt, F. Flechtner, R. König, U. Meyer, K.-H. Neumayer, P. Schwintzer, S.Y. Zhu, An Earth gravity field model complete to degree and order 150 from GRACE: EIGEN-GRACE02S, J. of Geodyn., 39, 1-10, 2005.

Ries, J.C., R.J. Eanes, B.D. Tapley, Lense-Thirring Precession Determination from Laser Ranging to Artificial Satellites, in: edited by Ruffini, R.J., C. Sigismondi, *Nonlinear Gravitodynamics. The*

Lense–Thirring Effect, pp. 201-211, World Scientific, Singapore, 2003a.

Ries, J. C., R.J. Eanes, B.D. Tapley, G.E. Peterson, Prospects for an Improved Lense-Thirring Test with SLR and the GRACE Gravity Mission. In: *Proc. 13th Int. Laser Ranging Workshop*, NASA CP 2003-212248, NASA Goddard, Greenbelt, (2003b). (Preprint http://cddisa.gsfc.nasa.gov/lw13/lw_proceedings.html#science).

Sengoku, A., M.K. Cheng, B.E. Schutz, Anisotropic reflection effect on satellite Ajisai, J. of Geodesy 70, 140-145, 1995.

Sengoku, A., M.K. Cheng, B.E. Schutz, H. Hashimoto, Earth–heating effect on Ajisai, J. of Geod. Soc. of Japan 42, 15–27, 1996.

Wahr, J., S. Swenson, V. Zlotnicki, I. Velicogna, Time-variable gravity from GRACE: First results, Geophysical Research Letters, 10.1029/2004GL019779, 2004.

Tables

Table 1. Orbital parameters of LAGEOS, LAGEOS II and Ajisai.
Table 2. Post-Newtonian gravitomagnetic (LT) and gravitoelectric (GE) secular precessions, in rad s^{-1}, for the nodes of LAGEOS, LAGEOS II and Ajisai and the perigee of LAGEOS II. The perigees of LAGEOS and Ajisai are not good observables because of the too small eccentricities of their orbits.
Table 3. Newtonian secular precession coefficients $\dot{\Psi}_{.\ell} = \partial\dot{\Psi}_{\rm class}/\partial J_\ell$, in rad s^{-1}, for the nodes of (L), (L II) and (A) and the perigee of LAGEOS II ($\ell = 2, 4, 6$). The explicit expressions of $\dot{\Omega}_{.\ell}$ and $\dot{\omega}_{.\ell}$ up to degree $\ell = 20$ can be found in Iorio (2003).

Table 1:

Orbital element	LAGEOS	LAGEOS II	Ajisai
semimajor axis a (km)	12270	12163	7870
eccentricity e	0.0045	0.014	0.001
inclination i (deg)	110	52.65	50

Table 2:

PN precession	LAGEOS	LAGEOS II	Ajisai
$\dot{\Omega}_{\rm LT}$	4.7×10^{-15}	4.8×10^{-15}	1.79×10^{-14}
$\dot{\omega}_{\rm LT}$	-	-8.8×10^{-15}	-
$\dot{\omega}_{\rm GE}$	-	5.143×10^{-13}	-

Table 3:

$\dot{\Psi}_{.\ell}$	$\ell = 2$	$\ell = 4$	$\ell = 6$
$\dot{\Omega}^{\rm L}_{.\ell}$	$6.4393531015\times10^{-5}$	$2.3720267441\times10^{-5}$	4.994251263×10^{-6}
$\dot{\Omega}^{\rm L\ II}_{.\ell}$	$-1.1781974640\times10^{-4}$	$-8.582111371\times10^{-6}$	7.668773045×10^{-6}
$\dot{\Omega}^{\rm Aji}_{.\ell}$	$-5.72645349511\times10^{-4}$	$-2.5332565232\times10^{-5}$	2.379851554×10^{-4}
$\dot{\omega}^{\rm L\ II}_{.\ell}$	$8.1596225662\times10^{-5}$	$6.0312610809\times10^{-5}$	5.3628932×10^{-6}